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## TRACKING DONOR SUPPORT TO SRHR AS PART OF THEIR ODA

The Donors Delivering for SRHR Report is an annual publication about the state of global funding for SRHR, RMNCH, and FP. Specifically, it tracks the total funding support and the share of ODA that OECD DAC donors dedicate to these areas. The report does this by combining the Muskoka 2 Methodology, developed by the LSHTM, with tracking donors' ODA allocation to SRR. The findings of the current report are based on ODA disbursements in 2020 as the most recent confirmed data available.


In 2020, after a drop in disbursements in 2019, donors' SRHR funding was back to the level of 2018. This is mainly due to several large donors increasing the total amount of their SRHR disbursements, including the US, Canada, Germany, Japan, and the EU Institutions.


## MANY LARGE DONORS FAILED TO PRIORITISE SRHR IN THEIR ODA IN 2020

Almost half of OECD DAC donors (14/30) spent $<2 \%$ of their ODA on SRHR

Only 5 out of 30 donors spent $>4 \%$ of their ODA on SRHR

Disappointingly, the latest data confirms a trend from previous years of large donors failing to prioritise SRHR funding in their ODA. This means that many countries who contribute the highest amount of SRHR funding in real terms only allocate a comparatively small percentage of their Official Development Aid to supporting SRHR. Accordingly, donors such as Germany, the EU Institutions, or France, who are some of the leading donors in 'real terms', lag behind smaller donors such as Iceland and the Netherlands when it comes to prioritising SRHR by allocating a larger percentage of ODA to SRHR.

The US is the biggest donor by far (both in total and relative terms) with almost $12 \%$ of ODA spent on SRHR. Other top donors (relative to ODA) include Canada, the Netherlands, Iceland, and Sweden, all spending between $4.09 \%$ and $8.02 \%$ of their ODA on SRHR.

ONLY 4.3\%
OF ALL ODA WENT TO SRHR

SRHR, FP and RMNCH as percentage of ODA for all OECD DAC donors - trend as a percentage of total ODA, 2018-2020



## THE EU: STRONG ON ODA BUT NOT ON SRHR FUNDING

While jointly the largest ODA donors (accounting for more than $50 \%$ of all ODA), the EU Institutions and EU Member States taken together account for only $25.12 \%$ of all donors' SRHR disbursements in 2020.
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## DONOR RANKING



| AUSTRIA |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $18^{\text {th }}$ | for ODA |
| $22^{\text {nd }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { for SRHR } \\ & \text { distorsements } \end{aligned}$ |
| $23^{\text {rd }}$ | for SRHR \% |


| BELGIUM |
| :--- |
| $16^{\text {th }}$ for ODA |
| $16^{\text {th }} \quad$for SRHR <br> disbursements |
| $13^{\text {th }} \quad$ for SRHR $\%$ |

-* CANADA

| $9^{\text {th }}$ | for ODA |
| :---: | :---: |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { fors } \\ \text { disbusements }}}{\text { SRHR }}$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | for SRHR \% |

$\square$ CZECH REP.

| $27^{\text {th }}$ |
| :--- |
| $27^{\text {th }}$ |
| $28^{\text {th }}$ to ODA |
| for <br> disursement |


| $15^{\text {th }}$ | for ODA |
| :---: | :---: |
| $13^{\text {th }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { for SRHR } \\ & \text { distoursements } \end{aligned}$ |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | forsRHR \% |



| GERMANY |
| :--- |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | | for ODA |
| :---: |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ |
| $19^{\text {th }}$ |



- ITALY

| $10^{\text {th }}$ | for ODA |
| :---: | :---: |
| $15^{\text {th }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { for SRHR } \\ & \text { disursemenis } \end{aligned}$ |
| $22^{\text {nd }}$ | for SRHR \% |


| - JAPAN |
| :--- |
| $6^{\text {th }} \quad$for ODA <br> $6^{\text {th }}$ <br> $12^{\text {th }}$ forsRHR <br> disursements${ }_{\text {for }}$ SRHR $\%$ |

:\% KOREA


SLOVENIA
$29^{\text {th }}$ for ODA

$29^{\text {th }}$| for SRHR |
| :--- |
| discousementis |
| $29^{\text {th }}$ |
| for SRHR $\%$ |


| SPAIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $14^{\text {th }}$ | for ODA |
| $14^{\text {th }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { for SRHR } \\ & \text { dolisursements } \end{aligned}$ |
| $17^{\text {th }}$ | for SRHR \% |
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